	Case 2:24-cv-01730-CKD Document	9 Filed 09/03/24	Page 1 of 5			
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10						
11	DOREL ARGUILEZ,	No. 2:24-cv-173	30 CKD P			
12	Plaintiff,					
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>				
14	D. CUEVAS, et al.,					
15	Defendants.					
16						
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and seeking relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §					
18	1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §					
19	636(b)(1).					
20	Plaintiff requests leave to proceed in forma pauperis. As plaintiff has submitted a					
21	declaration that makes the showing required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a), his request will be granted.					
22	Plaintiff is required to pay the statutory filing fee of \$350.00 for this action. 28 U.S.C. §§					
23	1914(a), 1915(b)(1). By separate order, the court will direct the appropriate agency to collect the					
24	initial partial filing fee from plaintiff's trust account and forward it to the Clerk of the Court.					
25	Thereafter, plaintiff will be obligated for monthly payments of twenty percent of the preceding					
26	month's income credited to plaintiff's prison trust account. These payments will be forwarded by					
27	the appropriate agency to the Clerk of the Court each time the amount in plaintiff's account					
28	exceeds \$10.00, until the filing fee is paid in full. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2).					
		1				

## Case 2:24-cv-01730-CKD Document 9 Filed 09/03/24 Page 2 of 5

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26

27

28

The court is required to screen complaints brought by prisoners seeking relief against a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The court must dismiss a complaint or portion thereof if the prisoner has raised claims that are legally "frivolous or malicious," that fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or that seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1), (2).

The court has reviewed plaintiff's complaint and finds that plaintiff may proceed on the following claims:

- 1. A claim for excessive use of force arising under the Eighth Amendment against defendants Parker and Williams. ECF No. 1 at 7.
- 2. A claim for denial of adequate medical also arising under the Eighth Amendment against defendants Parker and Williams. Id.

At this point, plaintiff has two options: proceed on the claims identified above or file an amended complaint in an attempt to cure deficiencies with respect to the other claims.

If plaintiff chooses to proceed on the claims described above, the court will construe this as a request to voluntarily dismiss the additional claims and defendants pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(i) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

With respect to plaintiff's other claims and assertions, plaintiff is informed as follows:

- 1. Under Rule 20 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, plaintiff cannot bring unrelated claims against different defendants in the same complaint. Simply put, plaintiff cannot join claims against defendant B that have nothing to do with those brought against defendant A. Allegations that acts are related as a part of a conspiracy are, by themselves, not sufficient.
- 2. Most of plaintiff's claims other than the ones identified above are vague and / or conclusory. Although the Federal Rules adopt a flexible pleading policy, a complaint must give fair notice and state the elements of the claim plainly and succinctly. Jones v. Cmty. Redev. Agency, 733 F.2d 646, 649 (9th Cir. 1984). Plaintiff must allege with at least some degree of particularity overt acts which defendants engaged in that support plaintiff's claim. Id. More specifically, plaintiff must demonstrate how the conditions complained of have resulted in a deprivation of plaintiff's constitutional rights. See Ellis v. Cassidy, 625 F.2d 227 (9th Cir. 1980).

## Case 2:24-cv-01730-CKD Document 9 Filed 09/03/24 Page 3 of 5

There can be no liability under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 unless there is some affirmative link or connection between a defendant's actions and the claimed deprivation. <u>Rizzo v. Goode</u>, 423 U.S. 362 (1976). Furthermore, vague and conclusory allegations of official participation in civil rights violations are not sufficient. Ivey v. Board of Regents, 673 F.2d 266, 268 (9th Cir. 1982).

Finally, plaintiff is informed that the court cannot refer to a prior pleading in order to make plaintiff's amended complaint complete. Local Rule 220 requires that an amended complaint be complete in itself without reference to any prior pleading. This is because, as a general rule, an amended complaint supersedes the original complaint. See Loux v. Rhay, 375 F.2d 55, 57 (9th Cir. 1967). Once plaintiff files an amended complaint, the original pleading no longer serves any function in the case. Therefore, in an amended complaint, as in an original complaint, each claim and the involvement of each defendant must be sufficiently alleged.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 2 & 8)) is granted.
- 2. Plaintiff is obligated to pay the statutory filing fee of \$350.00 for this action. All fees shall be collected and paid in accordance with this court's order to the Director of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation filed concurrently herewith.
- 3. Plaintiff has the option to proceed immediately on the claims described herein. In the alternative, plaintiff may choose to file an amended complaint to fix the deficiencies identified in this order with respect to the remaining claims.
- 4. Within 21 days from the date of this order, plaintiff shall complete and return the attached Notice of Election form notifying the court whether he wants to proceed on the screened complaint or whether he wants time to file an amended complaint.

/////

24 /////

25 /////

26 /////

27 /////

28 /////

## Case 2:24-cv-01730-CKD Document 9 Filed 09/03/24 Page 4 of 5

5. If plaintiff fails to return the attached Notice of Election within the time provided, the court will construe this failure as consent to dismiss the deficient claims and proceed only on the cognizable claim identified above. Dated: September 3, 2024 CAROLYN K. DELANEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE argu1730.option 

	Case 2:24-cv-01730-CKD D	ocument 9	Filed 09/03/24	Page 5 of 5		
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10						
11	DOREL ARGUILEZ,	N	Io. 2:24-cv-1730	CKD P		
12	Plaintiff,					
13	v.	N	OTICE OF ELEC	<u>CTION</u>		
14	D. CUEVAS, et al.,					
15	Defendants					
16						
17	Check only one option:					
18	1. Plaintiff wishes to proceed on the following claims:					
19	A. A claim for excessive use of force arising under the Eighth Amendment agains					
20	defendants Parker and Williams. ECF No. 1 at 7.					
21	B. A claim for denial of adequate medical care also arising under the Eighth					
22	Amendment against defendants Parker and Williams. Id.					
23	2. Plaintiff wants time to file a first amended complaint.					
24	DATED:					
25						
26						
27			Plaintiff			
28			_			
			5			